Appn. Number 10/599,178 (Palacios) GAU 2169

Amnt. A contd.

12 of 29

DRAWINGS:

The attached sheet (sheet 1/20) of drawings includes changes to Figure 1, and replaces the original sheet 1/20 with Figures 1, 2 and 3. In Figure 1, previously omitted reference numbers 1001, 1002, 1003 and 1004 have been added. A copy of sheet 1/20 is faxed along with this Amendment, and a new copy will be mailed separately.

Appn. Number 10/599,178 (Palacios) GAU 2169

Amnt. A contd.

13 of 29

REMARKS:

General

By the above amendment the Applicant has amended the claims to comply with objections in sections 2, 3 and 4 of the Office Action. Amendments also serve the purpose to more clearly show the nature of the invention with respect to prior art.

The Office Action considers the invention unpatentable over Pagallo US 5,544,262. In what follows, a detailed analysis of objections in section 5 is performed to show the novelty and nonobviousness of the invention over the Pagallo patent.

Also, a brief discussion on the references cited by the Examiner and not relied upon is performed next.

Finally, some additional references that have become known to Applicant are analyzed next.

In particular, a detailed analysis of US patent 5,471,613 is carried out next. The advantages of the current application over US patent 5,471,613 are shown. Claims 1, 19, 43 and 44 have been amended in order to more clearly show the differences between the current patent application and US patent 5,471,613.

Some claims have been amended to fulfil the requirements defined by the Office Action.

Also, some claims have been amended to better emphasize the differences between the current patent and the prior art.

The specification and sheet 1/20 of the drawings have also been amended to facilitate the new wording of claims 1 and 19.

Actual amendments performed

This section is intended that this section is intended to facilitate understanding the relationship between the remarks and the actual amendments performed upon the claims.

p.18

With that purpose, the section schematically describes what amendments have been done on the different claims as required by the objections of the Office Actions and to better define the invention over prior art.

Claim 1

Adding text that describes computer system parts

Eliminating indefinite word "might"

Refining the characteristics of the tree to more clearly define the invention over prior art.

Claim 2

Eliminating indefinite words "might" and "another type of action"

Claim 19

Eliminating indefinite word "might"

Refining the characteristics of the tree to more clearly define the invention over prior art.

Claim 20

Eliminating indefinite word "might" and "another type of action"

Claim 40

Eliminating indefinite word "and"

Claim 43

Rewording the claim to link it to claim 19 in order to facilitate exposition of the invention.

Claim 44

Rewording the claim to link it to claim 19 in order to facilitate exposition of the invention.

Remarks according to Objection 2

ATTER Aliantian indicated that the result Whiteindianaianas is not Paulish and misoted